

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,180	04/29/2005	Peter John James	FISHER-E	7167	
7590 ORINIDENO REPUTE NO CONTROL OF THE THE SECOND OF THE THE SECOND OF THE THE SECOND OF THE SECOND			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			O HERN, BRENT T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 180 JAMES, PETER JOHN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BRENT T. O HERN 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 16 and 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15.17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/533,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Claims 1-21 are pending with claims 16 and 18 allowed.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

 All rejections of record in the Office action mailed 3/31/2009 have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed 9/29/2009.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. Not all of the references, especially the Internet references are not included on the IDS or submitted.

NEW REJECTIONS

 The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-15, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,180

Art Unit: 1794

6. Claims 2-10, 12-15, 17 and 19-21 recite the limitation "a method" in line 1 of all claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.
Applicant may want to consider stating "the method".

- 7. Claim 1, line 15, claim 2, lines 3-4, claim 8, line 3, claim 11, line 15, claim 17, line 4 state "suitable ...". It is unclear what is the difference between suitable and not suitable.
- 8. The phrase "a transport system/infrastructure of the cane sugar mill" in claim 3, line 3 is vague and indefinite since it is unclear what is a transport system/infrastructure and what is not. For example, is a company truck part of the transport system/infrastructure?
- The phrase "as hereinbefore defined" in claim 21, line 3 is vague and indefinite since it is unclear how the growing was defined.

Clarification and/or correction is required

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

10. In response to Applicant's arguments (See pp. 7-13 of Applicant's Paper filed 9/29/2009), it is noted that Applicant's arguments are persuasive and all previous rejections have been withdrawn. There are not any art rejections remaining.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRENT T. O HERN whose telephone number is (571)272-0496. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00-6:00.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brent T. O'Hern/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 December 14, 2009